

Third parties by whatever name they may be called, Elmaker says are only hypocrites on one side and ready supporters to the other.

Thus it is while they hypocritically profess to be political abolitionists they are ~~eligious~~ slaveholders - ready supporters to the bulwark of slavery: - Their candidate for Congress and Presidential Elector in District No.

Phineas Prudall (and I mention him only for illustration) is very low in his calls upon his fellowmen voters to persuade them Whig & democratic candidates and vote for him a friend of the slave - He denounces a man as no abolitionist who will vote for Charles H. Wilson or
hands with Henry Clay & James K. Polk. Dr Hawes quacks & unprincipled
doctors - they thus strengthen slavery - Is Phineas Prudall a true
friend of the slave, is he on the side of the Oppressed, or Oppressor -
Witness him on the floor of the Anti-slavery Conference last spring
hand in hand with Drs Cathers, Few, Bascom and with Winans
Early & Smith. whose characters for men stealing, ~~whose hands~~
are not so good as Clays or Polks. (for their propensions are higher)
and their hands no less freshly clothed with the gore of the slaves blood
than Clays or Polks - with them he could pray - speak - commune
at the Table of the Lords favor. and call them dear Christian
brothers &

"Third parties by whatever name they may be called are ~~only~~ hypocrites on one side, and ready separators to the other" - Elmaher

Some men change their opinions, concerning
matters of more than a party, or ~~subtler~~ many
characters. They renounce their God, for the
sake of a party or a sect. They apostatize from
the ~~truth~~ & secretly betray the true Consecration
friends of Justice?

Is not this in a great degree true
of the ~~liberty~~ party so called?
When they run will for a time in the great
moral & religious Anti Slavery Enterprise
(^{which} who did hinder them). What was the result of
this works in connection with them who have
proclaimed themselves true to the great moral question
from a love & attachment to moral principle.
See Leavett.

What has this conduct been proved?
That shame, selfishness - Cunning ~~sect~~ ^{& not} ministerial
power party - that caused them hypocritical
separating from the true platform of a S-
to organize a party to shift than who have
proclaimed themselves - recalcant to the cause of
Christian philanthropy - and to betray the
true friends of the slave! This conduct shows
it still proves, if the actions of men are at all
indicative of their spirits, that a selfish, treacherous
sectarian party spirit - not conscious virtue &
honor abhors & still contaminates, the leaders
of what is called third party - and thus shuffling
down, evasion, & disposition to disgrace, their
proslavery leaders, & to unite with the out & out-
slavery holding democratic party & sectarians between
of slavery shows their ^a true ^b hidden Conscience
to say the least -

Their politics are as hypocritical as their religion & both are slaveholding -
As Gerrit Smith says "their politics are
no better than their religion" Their religion
sanctifies the system of abominations that
their politics hypocritically professes to oppose
take a few cases out of thousands that might
be adduced to prove this -

~~What caused the~~ ~~delighted~~ ^{stony} ~~caused~~

"Why did ~~Leavitt~~ Birney Collier-Phelps
& Ludlow betray the Am Soc & enter the
Church of Schism? So appear and court the
Am Church, ^{while the sword of truth has wounded} which Stanton describes their
party to be abiding them & while Birney declares to be the
bulwark of slavery & this religion Phelps
declares to be worn down, heathenism -

"Why did they not quit the Church then? Because
they had no Anti Slavery conscience - no moral
principle². Why did they immediately commence
an organization which they had pretended to oppose
as it would open the door for political
wolves to enter. Know it was this. ^{by their spirit} They had
"thankfully mismanaged their cause"; hypocritically
ruined at false issue "the Conquered woman question"
as Eliza Wright says. They had no moral principle.
When now is Ludlow & Phelps? Collier? & most of those
decided¹ No Moral principle, they have gone to their proslavery
churches, & are trying to build up a political
party out of their proslavery religion to abolish
slavery as they pretend. Who was Liberty party
candidate in last Do. - Phineas Pendall
who comes from the Democrat & Whig parties
touch not their proslavery hands - they are red with
the blood of the slaves³ very well. Who is Phineas
Pendall, On the floor of the Methodist Genl conference
on Committee at the communion table & in the pulpits
with Dr. (a pres⁴ of Pres.) Bushnell, with Wm Winans, Ealy Smith
their slaveholders them lay or palm with their

having said
Och says a liberty party man in this vicinity (who
wrote of with Mr Wesleyans on account of their protest
of a writer of the Methodist Church & is now supporting a
man who ^{disdains} asserted publicly in a debate with me
that he invited a Minister of that church recently
to exchange with him) "I would not vote for
Phineas Randall if I did not believe he did it" -
on account of his slandering character -

A few weeks since I went into a liberty party
Convention which convened to nominate
Senators for State Legislature. A candidate was
put forward, Dr W says we want a true man for
the slave. Now don't you a true man. Rev Mr R.
Amen you true for the slave - so the round it went
you true for the slave - well there was not a
soul in the convention true to the slave
they all meant true to their party - for their
President was a member of the Methodist Church supporting
pro-slavery ministers & clergymen. Dr W was in
a certain pro-slavery Colonization Church recently
elected on their principles - Rev Mr F. D. of New
York used to join hands with these slaveholding
members Rev Dr Hill Anderson Plummer
he to invite them up to attend just before next
Spring in this state - Rev Mr R. was a great
stretcher for the Methodist Ecclesiastical Conference
extending from Maine to N. C. up to Texas -

This candidate for Senator was a member
of a certain pro-slavery negro hating Conf
Church where slaveholders Whigs & Democrats
are present & common - this is no coincidence
all true - and can fit a ^{with} affidavit from Dr Plummer
of that party present - ^{can a man be true at the ballot box who is} ^{Liberty party now scatter}
fain in his church. No man in his right mind to apply his main
moral standard & of they speak of the moral accuracy of
he any thing they allow him to defend above - characteristic of a lib party
The question it arises as a forewarning for a foolish but
ignorant - Alvan Stewart says - the liberty party papers
are really "anti church" if you apply the 22^d Article of
Faith in the book of the 1st Epistles

Min who ^{displayed} came out in a debate with me
but he invited a Minister of that Church recently
to exchange with him "I would not wish for
Phineas Breckinridge if I did not know he died"
on account of his stanchotwing character -

A few weeks since I went into a Whig party
Convention which convened to nominate
Senators for State Legislature. A candidate was
put forward, Dr W says we want a true man for
the Slave Rep up yrs a true man - Rep Mr R
Amen yrs true for the Slave - so the result it went
yrs true for the Slave - with this was not a
soul in the Convention true to the Slave
They all meant true to their party - for their
President was a Member of the White Episc Church supporting
pro slavery Ministers & Clergymen - Dr W was in
a solid pro slaves Colonization Church recently
became on their principles - Rep Mr P. said Rep
John Fiske to win friends with Slaveholding
mobs etc Rep Dr Hill Anderson Plummer
etc invited them up to attend Anti Slavery meet
Spring in this state - Rep Mr R was a great
strikers for the White Exclavist Confederation
extending from Maine to N. O. up to us -

This candidate for Senator was a member
of a certain pro slavery negro hating (and
church where slaves were whipped & denied their
cure present to communion - this is no caricature
all true - as I can get affidavits from 2 members
of that party present - ^{can a man be truly at the head of his church} - ^{now} Liberty party now scarce
but in our church the man in next seat used to apply his moral
shame to them speak of the moral license it
may be any thing they allow him to do beyond what is characteristic of such party
The question at times as a forewarning for a foolish party
and

Government - Alvan Stewart, says - The liberty party papers
are rather "anti Church" if you apply the 22^d Chap. of
Exodus & 10th Luke to the Church. The bulwarks of staying
with the late Dr. C. H. Freeman, his Prof. Emmanuel,
etc. etc. own church - whom I go - I find the leaders of the
party, bitterly opposed to having any anti Slavery Ministers

Any thing that will not subserve lib party or
their bulwark of sl - they cry out against &
do every thing in their power to frustrate -

This I say of the leading ones - for there are
men who have been drawn into the party who
have to bear the great moral question discred-
ited men heart -

Lib party men finally the leading men of the
party have no confidence in the power of truth
their confidence is in the ballot box they say
in an arm of flesh - God is not any thing - nor
his truth mighty thro him - this they scoff - their
confidence is in the pocket argument - the concealed
electioneering = voting power - Thus they think teeth
& arms In a recent debate in this town when the
pro slavery character of the liberty party was shown
they unwillingly to apply anti slavery principles
to to come out of a sub - tent sanctified slavery
& did more to prop it up than all the proslavery
parties combined - a leading living lib party
man said this - Anti sl was political & had
nothing to do with the Oh the bulwark of sl
"then sl was political & that - then people
were many a man not more than half
Ab - political ab & religiously pro slavery -

Mr Bradburn & lib party men generally
admit the church to be the Bulwark of sl
& if it would cease to support sl - sl would fall
and yet they reluctantly support the bulwark

while they propose to be awfully shocked at
the infidelity & wickedness of the Whigs & Democrats
more especially the former at the ballot box
in voting for sl - they at the same time can
& support them as Oh their flag badus - creatures
& commensals with them at the communion
board - - Lib party is impotent - It has wasted its energies
& resources to incite its party - But when are the Ab
it has made? They have grown in this state only by following

in incriminating them not in this state alone
to this year - The more heards of their men admit
that were it not for old age agents - they would
not be able to bring any from the other pro slavery
parties - the B & D of Dartmouth has thus followed
most atten^dt^d. They have lost their Staff of
accomplishment - they have become a political
party & corruption marked the birth of the party
to that mark has developed itself more
& more - No political party ever grows better
in its growth in numbers - they wax worse &
worse -- "It is virtue & principle that forms the
true moral reformer - selfishness & want of principle
that creates the hypocritical & venial ~~the~~ politician -
and the party is fast becoming to the Texas ^{Democrat} party -
when I open it will soon end like its
Anti Masonic predecessor -

Give for the power of truth
E. D. H.